NOTICE OF APPEAL to SUPERIOR COURT – APPELLATE DIVISION

Kenneth Frank Irek, Plaintiff

New Jersey Lawyers' Fund
For Client Protection, Defendant
and
The Supreme Court of New Jersey, Defendant

From:
Superior Court of New Jersey
Mercer County
Law Division
Docket No. MER-L-002022-20

This page is for information only and is Not part of the attached document(s). It was created by Kenneth F. Irek for clarification and indexing.

NJDISBARRED.COM-Index (P2)(8)

Kenneth Frank Irek, Pro Se

8330 Haskell Ave, Unit 226 North Hills, CA 91343 Telephone No. 747-260-8998 Fax No. 818-533-6237 E-Mail: info@njdisbarred.com

January 7, 2021

NEW JERSEY APPELLATE DIVISION CLERK'S OFFICE

Richard J. Hughes Justice Complex 25 W. Market St., PO Box 006 Trenton, NJ 08625-0006 609-815-2950

USPS Priority Mail Tracking Number:

[xxxx-xxxx-xxxx]

RE: KENNETH FRANK IREK v.
NEW JERSEY LAWYERS' FUND FOR CLIENT PROTECTION, and
THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY
Docket # MER-L-002022-20
NOTICE OF APPEAL

Dear Sir or Madam:

Enclosed please find an Original and (4) copies of the <u>NOTICE OF APPEAL</u>, for the above-captioned matter, for filing:

- 1) Notice of Appeal
- 2) Civil CIS
- 3) Signed Order
- 4) Transcript Request
- 5) Filing fee Check no. XXXX in the amount of \$250.00 for the Appeal filing fee.

Additionally, (1) additional copy is enclosed, with a self-addressed stamped envelope, to mail back a copy marked "filed".

Thank You Sincerely,

Kenneth Frank Irek Plaintiff, Pro Se



New Jersey Judiciary Superior Court - Appellate Division **Notice of Appeal**

900 Table 15 700						
Type or clearly print all information. Attach additional sheets if necessary.						
(1) Title in Full (As Captioned Below) KENNETH FRANK IREK Plaintiff	(2) Attorney/Law Firm/Pro Se Litigant Name Kenneth Frank Irek, Pro Se Plaintiff					
V.	Street Address					
NEW JERSEY LAWYERS' FUND FOR CLIENT	8330 Haskell Ave., Apt 226					
PROTECTION and THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY	,		State	Zip	Telephone Nu	
Defendants	North Hills Email Address:	ı	CA	91343	747-260-8998	
2 42-1,000.00	kennvirek@gmail.co	2.522				
On Appeal from	rkennynek@gman.c	0111				
	r Ctata Amamay	(5)	Tuial Ca	t a Aa	may Niveshau	
(3) Trial Court Judge Douglas H. Hurd, P. J. Cv. Superior Court of			rnai Co R-L-20		ncy Number	
Bouglas II. Huru, 1.3. Cv. Superior Court of	Wiereer County	IVIL	K-L-20	22-20		
Notice is hereby given that (6) Kenneth Frank Irek, P Division from a (7) ☐ Judgment or ☐ Order enter ☐ Civil, ☐ Criminal, or ☐ Family Part of t☐ State Agency decision entered on	ed on <u>12/21/2020</u> he Superior Court				•	rom a
 (8) If not appealing the entire judgment, order or ag appealed. N/A (9) Have all issues, as to all parties in this action, be disposed of? (In consolidated actions, all issues) 	efore the trial cou	rt or	agenc	y been	■ Yes	re being □ No
been disposed of.)						
If not, has the order been properly certified as fi	nal pursuant to <i>R</i> .	4:42	2-2?		☐ Yes	☐ No
For criminal, quasi-criminal and juvenile actions or (10A) Give a concise statement of the offense and disposition imposed:	•	uding	j date	entered	and any sent	ence or
(10B) This appeal is from a ☐ conviction ☐ ☐ If post-conviction relief, is it the ☐ 1st ☐ ☐	oost-judgment mo 2nd □ other	tion		ີ post-co	onviction relie	ef.
. – –				sp	ecify	
(10C) Is defendant incarcerated?		Yes	Г] No		
Was bail granted or the sentence or disposition		Yes		-] No		
(10D) If in custody, name the place of confinement:	_	165] 110		
Defendant was represented below by: ☐ Public Defender ☐ self [_ private counse	l		specify		

11)	 Notice of appeal and attached case information statement have been served where applications. 			
	Trial Court Judge	Name Hon. Douglas H. Hurd, P. J. Cv.	Date of Service 01/07/2021	
	Trial Court Division Manager	Judith Irizarry	01/07/2021	
	Tax Court Administrator			
	State Agency			
		Michael T. Moran, Deputy Attorney General -1 (a),	01/07/2021	
	Other parties in this action: Name and Designation	Attorney Name, Address and Telephone No.	Date of Service	
12\	Attached transcript request form he	as been served where applicable on the following		
12)	Attached transcript request form ha	Date	of Service	
	Appellate Division Transcript Office		12/23/2020	
	Clerk of the Tax Court			
	State Agency (name)			
13)	Exempt from submitting the transcr No verbatim record.	ipt request form due to the following:		
	☐ Transcript in possession of atto along with an electronic copy).	rney or pro se litigant (four copies of the transcrip	ot must be submitted	
	List the date(s) of the trial or hearin	g:		
	December 18, 2020.			
	☐ Motion for abbreviation of transc	cript filed with the court or agency below. Attach	сору.	
	☐ Motion for transcript at public ex	pense filed with the court below. Attach copy.		
	, ,	s are true to the best of my knowledge, information fee required by <i>N.J.S.A.</i> 22A:2-5 and Rule 1:43 h		
14)	01/07/2021	(15)		
.,	Date	Signature of Attorney or Pro Se Litigant		



New Jersey Judiciary Superior Court - Appellate Division Civil Case Information Statement

Please type or clearly print all information.	
Title in Full (1)	Trial Court or Agency Docket Number (2)
KENNETH FRANK IREK, Plaintiff v. NEW JERSEY LAWYERS' FUND FOR CLIENT PROTECTION and THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY, Defendants	MER-L-2022-20
Attach additional sheets as necessary for any information below.	
(3)Appellant's Attorney Email Address: kennyirek@gmail.com	
■ Plaintiff □ Defendant □ Other (Specify)	
Name Kenneth Frank Irek, Pro Se	Client Kenneth Frank Irek
Street Address City 8330 Haskell Ave., Apt 226 North Hills	State Zip Telephone Number CA 91343 747-260-8998
(4)Respondent's Attorney* Email Address: Michael.Moran@law.nj	oag.gov
Name Michael T. Moran, Deputy Attorney General	Client NJLFCP and The Supreme Court of New Jersey
Street Address City	State Zip Telephone Number
25 Market Street, PO Box 116, RJ Hughes Justice Compx Trenton	NJ 08625 609-376-3377
* Indicate which parties, if any, did not participate below or were no longer parties to the action a	
(5) Give Date and Summary of Judgment, Order, or Decision Being Appe	• •
On December 21, 2020, an Order was signed by Honorable Douglas H. Hurd, Mercer County, Granting Defendants' Cross-Claim to dismiss Plaintiff's Verifi matter jurisdiction and failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted Motion for Injunctive Relief.	ed Complaint, with prejudice, for lack of subject
(6) Are there any claims against any party below, either in this or a consbeen disposed of, including counterclaims, cross-claims, third-party counsel fees?	
If so, has the order been properly certified as final pursuant to <i>R.</i> 4:4: be sought. <i>R.</i> 2:2-4,2:5-6) (If the order has been certified, attach, together with a copy of the or any other relevant pleadings and a brief explanation as to why certification pursuant to <i>R.</i> 4:42-2.)	e order, a copy of the complaint
Were any claims dismissed without prejudice? If so, explain and indicate any agreement between the parties co those claims.	☐ Yes ■ No ncerning future disposition of
(7) Is the validity of a statute, regulation, executive order, franchise or constate being questioned? (R. 2:5-1(g))	onstitutional provision of this 🔲 Yes 🔳 No
(8) Give a Brief Statement of the Facts and Procedural History:	
In 1990, Plaintiff, Kenneth Frank Irek, a New Jersey attorney, was the sole of vacant construction lot that was for sale. Irek signed a contract for sale of recorporation towards the purchase price of \$35,000. The closing never took 1 with the District IX Ethics Committee and a Claim with the NJLFCP for \$5, represent the claimants as an "attorney" or as a "fiduciary". The NJLFCP fr and began collection activities against Irek, who brought an action to declare counts related to those facts.// On 11/9/2020, Irek filed a Verified Complaint. He then filed a Motion for Injunctive Relief Temporary Restraints. Defenda Complaint - Irek filed a Reply - A telephonic oral argument was held. On 1	cal estate and a \$5,000 deposit was paid to the place and the prospective purchasers filed a grievance 000, which was paid to them, although Irek did not raudulently obtained a default judgment for \$5,000 e, void ab initio, the default judgment and other t against the NJLFCP and the Supreme Court of NJ. ants filed a Cross-Motion to Dismiss Irek's

` '	point headings pursuant to <i>R.</i> 2:6-2(a)(6). (Appellant c		, ,	п арргорпа	ale
	POINT I ERROR IN NOT FINDING THE DEFAULT JUHAD JURISDICTION OVER LAWYERS ACTING AS A DECLARING THE 1993 DISBARMENT ORDER RELIIELIGIBLE CLAIM, TO BE VOID AB INITIO FOR LACIN GRANTING DEFENDANTS' CROSS-MOTION TO THE PLEADINGS CONTAIN UNDISPUTED FACTS STOENYING IREK'S MOTION FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIINCARCERATION. POINT VERROR IN NOT WAITS	AN ATT ED ON EK OF S DISMIS UPPOR EF TO F	TORNEY OR FIDUCIARY. POINT II ERI BY THE NJLFCP AS A REQUIREMENT SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION. POI SS PLAINTIFF'S VERIFIED COMPLAIN' TING A CAUSE OF ACTION. POINT IV PREVENT IRREPERABLE DAMAGE BY	ROR IN NO FOR AN NT III ERR I BECAUSI ERROR IN	T OR E
(10)	If you are appealing from a judgment entered by a tri complete the following:	al judg	e sitting without a jury or from an order o	of the trial c	ourt,
	1. Did the trial judge issue oral findings or an opinion	n? If so	, on what date?	☐ Yes	No No
	2. Did the trial judge issue written findings or an opin	nion? If	so, on what date?	☐ Yes	No
	3. Will the trial judge be filing a statement or an opin	ion pur	suant to <i>R.</i> 2:5-1(b)?	☐ Yes	No
	Caution: Before you indicate that there was neither f determine whether findings or an opinion was placed will be filing a statement or opinion pursuant to <i>R</i> . 2:5	on the			
	Date of Your Inquiry: 01/01	/2021			
	1. Is there any appeal now pending or about to be br	ought l	before this court which:		
(11)	(A) Arises from substantially the same case or cor	ntrovers	sy as this appeal?	☐ Yes	No
(12)	(B) Involves an issue that is substantially the same	e, simil	ar or related to an issue in this appeal?	☐ Yes	No
(13)	2. Was there any prior appeal involving this case or o	ontrov	ersy?	Yes	No
• •				_	INO
	If the answer to either 1 or 2 above is Yes, state:			_	■ NO
	If the answer to either 1 or 2 above is Yes, state: Case Name:		Appellate Division Docket Nu	_	INO
Civ for or		issues espond	Settlement Program (CASP) to determing and any other matters that may aid in the ing to the following question. A negative	mber: le their pote the disposit	ential ion
Civ for or	ril appeals are screened for submission to the Civil Apsettlement or, in the alternative, a simplification of the handling of the appeal. Please consider these when re	e issues espond confer	Settlement Program (CASP) to determing and any other matters that may aid in the ing to the following question. A negative ence.	mber: le their pote the disposit	ential ion
Civ for or	Case Name: vil appeals are screened for submission to the Civil Apsettlement or, in the alternative, a simplification of the handling of the appeal. Please consider these when ret necessarily rule out the scheduling of a preargument of State whether you think this case may benefit from the scheduling of the scheduling of a preargument of the scheduling of the scheduling of a preargument of the scheduling	e issues espond confer a CASF which be nd presenplaint.	Settlement Program (CASP) to determine and any other matters that may aid in the ing to the following question. A negative rence. P conference. Egan in 1992, consisting of oral testimony are reved by Defendants. Plaintiff does not disp. The issue is simply to apply the appropriate	te their pote the disposite response Yes Market Yes	ential ion will No
Civ for or not	vil appeals are screened for submission to the Civil Apsettlement or, in the alternative, a simplification of the handling of the appeal. Please consider these when retracessarily rule out the scheduling of a preargument S) State whether you think this case may benefit from Explain your answer: This case is not one of disputed facts. The entire record, we statements, letters and court documents, were all created a of the factual record, which is attached to the Verified Con	e issues espond c confer a CASP which be nd presemplaint. Judges a	Settlement Program (CASP) to determine and any other matters that may aid in the ing to the following question. A negative ence. P conference. Segan in 1992, consisting of oral testimony and erved by Defendants. Plaintiff does not disp. The issue is simply to apply the appropriation are best suited for that determination.	mber: the their pote the disposite response Yes disposite response	ential ion will No tion by law
Civ for or (15)	vil appeals are screened for submission to the Civil Appeals are screened for submission to the Civil Appeals ettlement or, in the alternative, a simplification of the handling of the appeal. Please consider these when ret necessarily rule out the scheduling of a preargument of system in the property of the property of the scheduling of a preargument of the Explain your answer: This case is not one of disputed facts. The entire record, we statements, letters and court documents, were all created a of the factual record, which is attached to the Verified Cort to the existing, undisputed factual record. The Appellate of the Whether or not an opinion is approved for publication.	e issues espond c confer a CASI which be nd presemplaint. Judges a	Settlement Program (CASP) to determine and any other matters that may aid in the ing to the following question. A negative sence. P conference. Legan in 1992, consisting of oral testimony are erved by Defendants. Plaintiff does not disput the issue is simply to apply the appropriate best suited for that determination. Official Court Reporter books, the Judic acted from documents now submitted to	e their pote the disposite response Yes disposite response Yes disposite response	ential cion will No tion cy law
Civ for or (15)	Case Name: All appeals are screened for submission to the Civil Appetitement or, in the alternative, a simplification of the handling of the appeal. Please consider these when retracessarily rule out the scheduling of a preargument of the property of th	e issues espond confer a CASF which be nd presemplaint. Judges a n in the en reda future i	Settlement Program (CASP) to determine and any other matters that may aid in the ing to the following question. A negative sence. P conference. Legan in 1992, consisting of oral testimony are erved by Defendants. Plaintiff does not disput the issue is simply to apply the appropriate best suited for that determination. Official Court Reporter books, the Judic acted from documents now submitted to	e their pote the disposite response Yes disposite response Yes disposite response	ential cion will No tion cy law
Civ for or (15)	Case Name: All appeals are screened for submission to the Civil Appetitement or, in the alternative, a simplification of the handling of the appeal. Please consider these when retracessarily rule out the scheduling of a preargument of the property of th	e issues espond c confer a CASI which be nd presemplaint. Judges a	Settlement Program (CASP) to determine and any other matters that may aid in the sing to the following question. A negative ence. P conference. Segan in 1992, consisting of oral testimony are erved by Defendants. Plaintiff does not disp. The issue is simply to apply the appropriate best suited for that determination. Official Court Reporter books, the Judic extended from documents now submitted to a caccordance with Rule 1:38-7(b). Kenneth Frank Irek Name of Counsel of Record	e their pote the disposite response Yes disposite response Yes Manufacture any porter New Jerse iary posts and the court,	ential cion will No tion cy law
Civ for or (16) (17) (18)	vil appeals are screened for submission to the Civil Apsettlement or, in the alternative, a simplification of the handling of the appeal. Please consider these when retracted as the necessarily rule out the scheduling of a preargument of state whether you think this case may benefit from a Explain your answer: This case is not one of disputed facts. The entire record, we statements, letters and court documents, were all created a of the factual record, which is attached to the Verified Cort to the existing, undisputed factual record. The Appellate I Whether or not an opinion is approved for publication Appellate Division opinions on the Internet. I certify that confidential personal identifiers have been will be redacted from all documents submitted in the su	e issues espond confer a CASF which be nd presemplaint. Judges a n in the en reda future i	Settlement Program (CASP) to determine and any other matters that may aid in the sing to the following question. A negative ence. P conference. Legan in 1992, consisting of oral testimony are erved by Defendants. Plaintiff does not disp. The issue is simply to apply the appropriate best suited for that determination. Official Court Reporter books, the Judic extends from documents now submitted to a accordance with Rule 1:38-7(b). Kenneth Frank Irek	e their pote the disposite response Yes disposite response Yes Manufacture any porter New Jerse iary posts and the court,	ential cion will No tion cy law
Civ for or (15)	vil appeals are screened for submission to the Civil Apsettlement or, in the alternative, a simplification of the handling of the appeal. Please consider these when retracted as the necessarily rule out the scheduling of a preargument of state whether you think this case may benefit from a Explain your answer: This case is not one of disputed facts. The entire record, we statements, letters and court documents, were all created a of the factual record, which is attached to the Verified Cort to the existing, undisputed factual record. The Appellate I Whether or not an opinion is approved for publication Appellate Division opinions on the Internet. I certify that confidential personal identifiers have been will be redacted from all documents submitted in the su	e issues espond confer a CASF which be nd presemplaint. Judges a n in the en reda future i	Settlement Program (CASP) to determine and any other matters that may aid in the sing to the following question. A negative ence. P conference. Segan in 1992, consisting of oral testimony are erved by Defendants. Plaintiff does not disp. The issue is simply to apply the appropriate best suited for that determination. Official Court Reporter books, the Judic extended from documents now submitted to a caccordance with Rule 1:38-7(b). Kenneth Frank Irek Name of Counsel of Record	e their pote the disposite response Yes disposite response Yes disposite response in Yes and written porte any posts a the court, a counsel)	ential cion will No tion cy law

GURBIR S. GREWAL
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF NEW JERSEY
R.J. Hughes Justice Complex
25 Market Street
P.O. Box 116
Trenton, New Jersey 08625
Attorney for Defendants,
New Jersey Lawyers' Fund for Client
Protection and Supreme Court of New Jersey

By: Michael T. Moran (251732019)
Deputy Attorney General
609-376-3377
Michael.Moran@law.njoag.gov
DOL# 20-02764

KENNETH FRANK IREK,

Plaintiff,

v.

NEW JERSEY LAWYERS' FUND FOR CLIENT PROTECTION and THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY,

Defendants.

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION MERCER COUNTY

DOCKET NO. MER-L-2022-20

CIVIL ACTION

ORDER

THIS MATTER having been opened to the Court on application of Plaintiff pro se Kenneth Frank Irek ("Irek") for injunctive relief, and Deputy Attorney General Michael T. Moran, appearing on behalf of Defendants New Jersey Lawyers' Fund for Client Protection and Supreme Court of New Jersey ("Judiciary Defendants"), having objected to Irek's application for injunctive relief and cross-moving for an Order dismissing the Verified Complaint with prejudice under Rule 4:6-2(a) for lack of subject matter jurisdiction and under Rule 4:6-2(e) failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, and the Court

having considered all the motion papers and oral argument and for the reasons placed on the record on this date;

IT IS on this $21^{\rm st}$ day of December, 2020

ORDERED that the Judiciary Defendants' Cross-Motion to Dismiss Irek's Verified Complaint is hereby GRANTED; and it is further

ORDERED that Irek's Verified Complaint against the Judiciary Defendants and their employees is hereby **DISMISSED** WITH PREJUDICE; and it is further

ORDERED that Irek's Motion for Injunctive Relief is hereby DENIED WITH PREJUDICE; and it is further

ORDERED that a copy of this Order shall be served upon the pro se plaintiff within ten days of it being uploaded onto eCourts.

	/s/ Douglas H. Hurd	
	P.J.Cv.	
x Opposed		
Unopposed		